Direct-dynamical entanglement-discord relations

Virginia Feldman,[1] Jonas Maziero,[1,2] and A. Auyuanet[1,*]

[1] *Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República, J. Herrera y Reissig 565, 11300, Montevideo, Uruguay*

[2] *Departamento de Física, Centro de Ciências Naturais e Exatas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Avenida Roraima 1000, 97105-900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil*

In this article, by considering Bell-diagonal two-qubit initial states submitted to local dynamics generated by the phase damping, bit flip, phase flip, bit-phase flip, and depolarizing channels, we report some elegant direct-dynamical relations between geometric measures of entanglement and discord. The complex scenario appearing already in this simplified case study indicates that similarly simple relation shall hardly be found in more general situations.

arXiv:1610.09030v1 [quant-ph] 27 Oct 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Since 1935 [1–3], the quantumness of correlations has triggered philosophical and scientific debates regarding some unusual qualities of the physical world. From these discussions grew quantum information science (QIS) [4–6], which is excitingly reverberating on several fields of scientific inquire [7–19]. There are some key concepts which are very significant for this quantum revolution, among them are quantum contextuality [20, 21], nonlocality [22, 23], steering [24, 25], entanglement [26–29], discord [30–33], and coherence [34, 35]. Understanding them and their interplay is a fundamental current problem in QIS.

Although some of these quantumness measures have been indirectly related through purifications, as they are qualitatively and operationally quite distinct, at first sight there is no reason one should expect to exist a direct relation between them.

In recent years special attention has been given to the geometric definition of quantifiers of correlations[36–41].This geometric approach requires to choose a measure of distance, which it has also been the subject of wide discussions. One specific property that is required for a measure of distance is its contractivity. In particular this feature is important because it ensures that the distance between two quantum states initially in an uncorrelated state whith its environment, doesn't increase with time, which assures that the distinguishability doesn't grow with time. The contractivity of the Trace norm for trace-preserving quantum evolution was proved by Ruskai[42], but for the case of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm Osawa [43] found one example in which this norm is not contractive. More recently, Wang and Schirmer [44] demonstrated necessary and sufficient conditions for contractivity of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Indirect relations between entanglement and discord of different bipartitions of a three-partite system were obtained in the literature [45–49]. However there is no straightforward reason to assume the existence of a direct relation between entanglement and discord for single bipartite system, although numerical and analytical inequalities can be found [50–55].

In this article we will consider the possible existence of a direct relation between entanglement and discord, two of the most prominent quantum correlations. In particular we calculate for initial Bell-diagonal states under the action of several local trace-preserving quantum-channels (Bit Flip, Bit Phase Flip, Phase Damping and Depolarizing) geometric measures for entanglement and discord and we find direct relations between them. In Sec. II we present the geometric measures of correlations and the correspondig measures of distance. In Sec.III we obtain the entanglement-discord relations for Bell-diagonal states under the Phase Damping Channel, using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and the Trace norm. In particular for the Trace norm we find that our geometric measure of entanglement is equal to the Concurrence of the entangled state. In Sec. IV we obtain the entanglement-discord relations for Bell-diagonal states under the Depolarizing channel. In Sec.V we summarized and discussed our results.

II. GEOMETRIC MEASURES OF CORRELATIONS

Concerning the quantification of entanglement and discord, we choose a geometric approach in order to set both quantifiers in equal foot, strategy that allow us to find a direct relation between them. We can measure how entangled or discordant a general bipartite density operator ρ is by its minimum distance to the corresponding set not possessing that property, i.e.,:

$$E(\rho) = \min_{\rho^{sep}} d(\rho, \rho^{sep}), \tag{1}$$

$$D(\rho) = \min_{\rho^{cc}} d(\rho, \rho^{cc}), \tag{2}$$

where ρ^{sep} belongs to the set of separables states (zero entanglement) and ρ^{cc} to the set of classical states (zero discord).

* auyuanet@fing.edu.uy

In order to quantify entanglement and discord from a geometric approach, we choose two particular cases of the Schatten $p-$norm distance, which is defined using the p-norm: $||A||_p^p := \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{A^\dagger A}\right)^p$. When $p = 1$ we have the Trace norm that induces the distance d_1,

$$d_1(\rho, \sigma) = ||\rho - \sigma||_1 \tag{3}$$

and when $p = 2$ we have the Hilbert-Schmidt norm that induces the distance d_2,

$$d_2(\rho, \sigma) = ||\rho - \sigma||_2^2. \tag{4}$$

As we discussed, the Trace norm is contractive; and using the results mentioned above [44] we found that, for the quantum channels we will work here: Phase Damping, Depolarizing, Amplitude Damping, the Hilbert-Schmidt norm is also contractive.

III. ENTANGLEMENT-DISCORD RELATIONS FOR BELL-DIAGONAL STATES EVOLVING UNDER LOCAL PHASE DAMPING CHANNEL

Bell-diagonal states (BDS) have been the workhorse for analytical computations of most quantum correlation functions. This is helpful, for instance, in investigations of the dynamics of these correlations under decoherence [56, 57], for their experimental estimation [58, 59], and for more easily visualize and comprehend the geometrical structure of the quantum state space [60–63]. The Bell-diagonal class of states is equivalent, under local unitaries, to two-qubit states with maximally mixed marginals that can be written as follows:

$$\rho = 2^{-2}\left(\mathbb{I}_4 + \vec{r}\cdot\vec{\Sigma}\right), \tag{5}$$

with $\mathbb{I}_n$ denoting the nxn identity operator and we defined $\vec{\Sigma} = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1\hat{i} + \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2\hat{j} + \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3\hat{k}$ and $\vec{r} = r_1\hat{i} + r_2\hat{j} + r_3\hat{k}$. The last vector is dubbed here as the correlation vector, because $r_j = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\sigma_j \otimes \sigma_j)$. The set $\{\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}\}$ is the usual orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$ and σ_j are the Pauli matrices.

In the following we study a two-qubit system ab prepared in the state ρ with each party interacting with its own local-independent environment. Thus, if the overall initial state is $\rho \otimes |E_0^a\rangle\langle E_0^a| \otimes |E_0^b\rangle\langle E_0^b|$, the closed system evolved state is $U_a \otimes U_b(\rho \otimes |E_0^a\rangle\langle E_0^a| \otimes |E_0^b\rangle\langle E_0^b|)U_a^\dagger \otimes U_b^\dagger$, with U_s being a unitary transformation in $\mathcal{H}_s \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E_s}$, for $s = a, b$. The partial trace leads then to the evolved state for the bipartite system ab [4–6]:

$$\rho_t = \textstyle\sum_{m,n} K_m^a \otimes K_n^b \rho K_m^{a\dagger} \otimes K_n^{b\dagger}, \tag{6}$$

with the matrix elements of the Kraus' operators being $\langle s_j|K_m^s|s_k\rangle = (\langle s_j| \otimes \langle E_m^s|)U_s(|s_k\rangle \otimes |E_0^s\rangle)$, where $|s_j\rangle$ and $|E_m^s\rangle$ are orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_s$ and $\mathcal{H}_{E_s}$, respectively. Using that, we obtain direct-dynamical Discord-Entanglement relation considering two qubits prepared in a Bell-diagonal state interacting with the Phase Damping channel.



Figure 1. (color online) $\mathbb{R}^3$ representation of the Bell-diagonal state space. The (r_1, r_2, r_3) points in the tetrahedron are the physical states. The entangled states are those in the yellow regions. The separable convex subset is the green octahedron. The three black axes are the classical-classical states. The dotted lines represent the "temporal" trajectories for the initial state $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = -0.7$ evolved under local phase damping, bit flip, bit phase flip and depolarizing channels.

The phase damping channel (PDC) represents the main source of decoherence in quantum systems. This kind of environment obtains information about the system's state without exchange of energy. From the phenomenological map describing this process we can obtain the Kraus operators $K_0^{pd} = \sqrt{1-p_s}\mathbb{I}_2$, $K_1^{pd} = \sqrt{p_s}|s_0\rangle\langle s_0|$, and $K_2^{pd} = \sqrt{p_s}|s_1\rangle\langle s_1|$. Note that p_s is the probability for a change in the environment state, which is conditioned on the system state. From now on we will assume symmetric environments, i.e., $p_a = p_b \equiv p$. Substituting these Kraus operators in Eq. (6), we obtain the evolved correlation vector:

$$\vec{r}_{pd}(p) = r_1(1-p)^2\hat{i} + r_2(1-p)^2\hat{j} + r_3\hat{k}. \tag{7}$$

Following this evolution, the Bell-diagonal form of the evolved state is preserved. In Fig. 1 is shown the Bell-diagonal state space [62], its classical-classical and separable sub-sets, and examples of trajectories for the dynamics considered in Sec.III and in Sec. IV.

A. Entanglement - Discord relation using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm

In order to calculate de discord we use the geometric measure of Discord introduced by [40]:

$$D(\rho) = \min_{\chi} \|\rho - \chi\|_2^2, \tag{8}$$

with the minimum χ taken over the set of the states with zero discord. As was noted by [62] for the Bell diagonal states the only states with zero discord lay in the Cartesian axes 1, thus the discord is determined by the euclidean distance from the point $(|r_1(p)|, |r_2(p)|, |r_3(p)|)$ to its closest axis. Taking this into account we define the discord:

$$D(p) = Min[D_1(p), D_2(p), D_3(p)] \tag{9}$$

where

$$D_i(p) = r_j(p)^2 + r_k(p)^2, i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \\ i \neq j \neq k \tag{10}$$

For the entanglement calculation we use the known result [44] that for hermitian matrices which pertain to the same trace class, the Hilbert Schmidt distance between them equals the Euclidean distance between their corresponding correlations vectors: $\|\rho - \zeta\|_2 = \|\vec{r} - \vec{z}\|_2$.
The region of separable states is delimitated by the octahedron [62] defined by $|r_1|+|r_2|+|r_3| \leq 1$. Using the symmetry of the separable states region in the bell-diagonal space 1, we can calculate the entanglement for the state with evolved correlation vector $\vec{r}(p)$ as the euclidean distance from the point $(|r_1(p)|, |r_2(p)|, |r_3(p)|)$ to the plane $|r_1|+|r_2|+|r_3| = 1$, when $(|r_1(p)|+|r_2(p)|+|r_3(p)|) \geq 1$. In order to relate the discord with the entanglement, we define:

$$E(\rho) = \min_{\rho^{sep}} \|\rho - \rho^{sep}\|_2^2, \tag{11}$$

which for the case of phase damping takes the following expression:

$$E(p) = \frac{\left(|r_1|(1-p)^2 + |r_2|(1-p)^2 + |r_3| - 1\right)^2}{3} \tag{12}$$

Depending on initial condition we have three differents scenarios: (1) considering initial Bell-diagonal states with $|r_1|, |r_2| < |r_3|$, the discord takes the form $D_3(p)$ for every p.
(2) When $|r_2|, |r_3| < |r_1|\,, (|r_1| \neq 0)$, the discord exhibits a sudden change for $p_{13} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{|r_3|}{|r_1|}}$: it starts being equal to $D_1(p)$ for $p \leq p_{13}$ and then it becomes $D_3(p)$ for $p \geq p_{13}$.
(3) Similarly, if $|r_1|, |r_3| < |r_2|\,(|r_2| \neq 0)$ the discord starts as $D_2(p)$ and then it becomes $D_3(p)$ for $p \geq p_{23}$, where $p_{23} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{|r_3|}{|r_2|}}$.
Taking into account that the entanglement undergoes sudden death for $p_{SD} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1-|r_3|}{|r_1|+|r_2|}}$ we can express the discord for $p \in [0, p_{SD}]$ as a function of the entanglement in p and the parameter $|r_3|$:
(1) when $D(p) = D_1(p)$ or $D_2(p)$, we have $D(p) = D_i(p)$ with

$$D_i(p) = |r_j|^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3E(p)} - |r_3| + 1}{|r_1| + |r_2|}\right)^2 + |r_3|^2, \tag{13}$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$
(2) In the case that $D(p) = D_3(p)$

$$D(p) = \left(|r_1|^2 + |r_2|^2\right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{3E(p)} - |r_3| + 1}{|r_1| + |r_2|}\right)^2 \tag{14}$$

B. Entanglement - Discord relation using the Trace norm

In what follows we use the trace norm in order to calculate the entanglement and the discord:

$$E(\rho) = \min_{\rho^{sep}} \|\rho - \rho^{sep}\|_1, \\ D(\rho) = \min_{\rho^{cc}} \|\rho - \rho^{cc}\|_1. \tag{15}$$

1. *Entanglement*

As far as we know there is no expression for the entanglement by means of the trace distance. In order to calculate entanglement we need to find the closest separable state to a Bell diagonal state. There are several proposals to determine the closest separable state, using different criterias [64–66] and differents measures of distance. In what follows we use a result obtained in the context of multipartite systems [67] that says that the closest biseparable state to a general X state is also an X state, and we propose the following criteria: we will search the closest separable state to an entangled X state between the X states that have the same populations that the entangled one. With this assumption we get a very meaningful result:

Proposition III.1 *Let ρ_X be the density matrix associated to a quantum X state and σ_X its closest separable state (with the same populations than ρ_X) under the trace distance. The trace distance between ρ_X and σ_X is the Concurrence of ρ_X.*

Proof - Let's consider ρ_X, a density matrix associated to a general X state:

$$\rho_X = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & b & f & 0 \\ 0 & f & c & 0 \\ e & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to represent a physical state e and f must fulfill the following restrictions: $|e| \leq \sqrt{ad}$ and $|f| \leq \sqrt{bc}$. We will search for the closest separable state to ρ_X, between the set of X matrices with the constrain that they must have the same diagonal as ρ_X:

$$\sigma_X = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & e' \\ 0 & b & f' & 0 \\ 0 & f' & c & 0 \\ e' & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

If σ_X represents a physical and separable state, e' and f' must fulfill the following condition:

$$|e'|, |f'| \leq \min\{\sqrt{ad}, \sqrt{bc}\} \tag{16}$$

As we are searching for the closest separable X state according to the trace distance, we have to minimize

$$\|\rho_X - \sigma_X\|_{TD} = 2\left(|\ (|e| - |e'|)\ | + \ (|f| - |f'|)\ |\right), \quad (17)$$

where we used the fact that in order to miminize the expression above the off diagonal elements of ρ_X and σ_X must have the same sign.
The expression above reaches its minimum value when both terms are 0, but this only happens when both matrices are equal. In the general case we can only equate to zero one term, depending on the value of the elements of ρ_X: (1) if $\sqrt{bc} < \sqrt{ad}$ is easy to see that the minimum is reached when $f' = f$ and $e' = \sqrt{ad}$. In this case we have

$$\|\rho_X - \sigma_X\|_1 = 2|\ |e| - \sqrt{bc}\ |. \quad (18)$$

(2) If $\sqrt{ad} < \sqrt{bc}$ the minimum is reached when $e' = e$ and $f' = \sqrt{ad}$. In this case we have

$$\|\rho_X - \sigma_X\|_1 = 2|\ |f| - \sqrt{ad}\,|. \quad (19)$$

It is easy to see that for the case $\sqrt{ad} = \sqrt{bc}$ the matrix ρ_X is separable.
As it was demonstrated by [68], for a general X state the Concurrence has the following expression:

$$C(\rho_X) = 2\max\{0, |e| - \sqrt{bc}, |f| - \sqrt{ad}\} \quad (20)$$

This bring us to our result: the trace distance between a general X state and its closest separable state is the Concurrence of the general X state. ∎

Taking into account this result we have for the geometric Entanglement:

$$E(\rho) = \min_{\rho^{sep}} d(\rho, \rho^{sep}) = C(\rho) \quad (21)$$

This result allow us to calculate the geometric entanglement by the trace distance, even for evolutions that don't preserve the Bell-diagonal form, as for example X states under the action of the Amplitude Damping channel.
At this point it is worth mentioning that for the evolution of the Bell-diagonal states under the phase damping channel, the entanglement is determined by the sign of r_3: if $r_3 > 0$ then $C_1(\rho_X) = 2(|e| - \sqrt{bc})$ and if $r_3 < 0$, $C_2(\rho_X) = 2(|f| - \sqrt{ad})$, for all the evolution until become separable. In a pictorical view: the entanglement of states that belong to the upper part of the tetrahedron is described by $C_1(\rho_X)$ and the entanglement of states that belong to the lower part of the tetrahedron is described by $C_2(\rho_X)$.

2. Discord

Expressions to calculate the Discord by the Schatten-1 norm for the Bell diagonal states are known [69],

$$D = int\{|c_1|, |c_2|, |c_3|\}, \quad (22)$$

where "int" means the intermediate value.

3. Discord-Entanglement relations

Having properly defined the geometric quantifiers for entanglement and discord using the Schatten-1 norm we can relate them. In order to calculate the discord we have to establish the ordering between the absolute values of the coefficients $|r_i|$ as we did when we used the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
(1) Let's consider that $|r_i| < |r_j| < |r_3|$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$ in this case is easy to see that the discord D is expressed by $D = |r_j(p)|$ for all the evolution.
The entanglement of the state quantified by the concurrence is $C(p) = \frac{1}{2}\max\{|r_2 \pm r_1|(1-p)^2 - |1 \pm r_3|\}$. Combining the two expressions we have:

$$D(p) = \frac{2C(p) + |1 \pm r_3|}{|r_2 \pm r_1|}.|r_j|, \forall p \in [0, p_{SD1}], \quad (23)$$

where p_{SD1} is when entanglement sudden death occurs; for this case $p_{SD1} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{|1 \pm r_3|}{|r_2 \pm r_1|}}$

(2) When $|r_1| < |r_3| < |r_2|$, the discord exhibits a sudden change in $p_I = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{|r_3|}{|r_2|}}$: it starts being equal to $|r_3|$ for $p \leq p_I$ and then becomes equal to $|r_2(p)|$ for $p \geq p_I$. We note that $p_I = p_{23}$, p_{23} being the time for the sudden change of geometric discord calculated using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.
(3) When $|r_3| < |r_2| < |r_1|$, the discord exhibits two sudden changes: in p_I and in $p_{II} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{|r_3|}{|r_1|}}$, verifying for this initial conditions that $p_I < p_{II}$. For $p < p_I$ the discord D is expressed by $D = |r_2(p)|$; for $p_I \leq p < p_{II}$ the discord is expressed by $D = |r_3|$ and for $p_{II} \leq p$

$$D(p) = |r_1(p)| = \frac{2C(p) + |1 \pm r_3|}{|r_2 \pm r_1|}.|r_1| \quad (24)$$

We note that analogous to case (2) $p_{II} = p_{13}$, p_{13} being the time for the sudden change of geometric discord calculated using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

The same results are obtained for the Bit Flip and the Bit Phase Flip channels as we can se from their correlation vectors:

$$\vec{r}_{bf}(p) = r_1\hat{i} + r_2(1-p)^2\hat{j} + r_3(1-p)^2\hat{k}, \quad (25)$$

$$\vec{r}_{bpf}(p) = r_1(1-p)^2\hat{i} + r_2\hat{j} + r_3(1-p)^2\hat{k}, \quad (26)$$

that they have the same evolution as the Phase Damping: they are obtained interchanging r_3 with r_1 and r_3 with r_2 respectively.

In Fig. 2 we show the curves of Discord as a function of Entanglement obtained in this section, for the Hilbert-Schmidt and the Trace distance.



Figure 2. (color online) Discord as a function of Entanglement for the initial state $r_1 = 0.65, r_2 = 0.59, r_3 = -0.38$ evolved under local phase damping channel. The thick (red) line corresponds to the Trace distance and the dashed (blue) line to the Hilber-Schmidt distance.



Figure 3. (color online) Discord as a function of Entanglement for the initial state $r_1 = 0.65, r_2 = 0.59, r_3 = -0.38$ evolved under local depolarizing channel. The thick (red) line corresponds to the Trace distance and the dashed (blue) line to the Hilber-Schmidt distance.

IV. ENTANGLEMENT-DISCORD RELATIONS FOR BELL-DIAGONAL STATES EVOLVING UNDER LOCAL DEPOLARIZING CHANNEL

The depolarizing channel describes the interaction of the system with the environment that get its state mixed up with the maximally entropic state with a probability p, i.e., $\rho \to (1-p)\rho + p(2^{-1}\mathbb{I}_2)$. This is equivalent to the possibility of occurrence of all the Pauli errors with equal probability $p/4$. The Kraus operators for this kind of noise channel are $K_0^d = \sqrt{1-3p/4}\mathbb{I}_2$, $K_1^d = \sqrt{p/4}\sigma_1$, $K_2 = \sqrt{p/4}\sigma_2$, and $K_3 = \sqrt{p/4}\sigma_3$. And the evolved correlation vector is:

$$\vec{r}_d(p) = r_1(1-p)^2\hat{i} + r_2(1-p)^2\hat{j} + r_3(1-p)^2\hat{k}. \quad (27)$$

A. Entanglement - Discord relation using the Hilbert-Schmidt norm

Applying the same reasoning we used above, we determine the entanglement calculating the distance of the quantum state to the separable octahedron. For this channel the entanglement is expressed by

$$E(p) = \frac{1}{3}\left((1-p)^2\left(|r_1| + |r_2| + |r_3|\right) - 1\right)^2, \quad (28)$$

the sudden death of entanglement occurs for $p_{SD} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{|r_1|+|r_2|+|r_3|}}$. For the discord we have to determine the minimum of

$$D_i(p) = (1-p)^4\left(r_j^2 + r_k^2\right),\ i,\ j,\ k = 1,2,3,$$
$$i \neq j \neq k. \quad (29)$$

For this channel the dynamics of the discord is very simple, it never exhibits a sudden change which is obvious from its mathematical expression.

Again we can relate analitically the discord and the entanglement:

$$D_i(p) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3E(p)}+1}{(|r_1| + |r_2| + |r_3|)}\right)^2 \left(r_j^2 + r_k^2\right),$$
$$i \neq j \neq k. \quad (30)$$

B. Entanglement - Discord relation using the Trace norm

As we discussed previously, the geometric entanglement for the Bell-diagonal states, measured by the trace norm is the concurrence of the state. In this case:

$$C(p) = \frac{1}{2}\max\{0, |r_1 \pm r_2|(1-p)^2 - \left(1 \pm r_3(1-p)^2\right)\} \quad (31)$$

The expression of the geometric discord is

$$D(p) = |r_{int}|(1-p)^2 \quad (32)$$

and the relation between the geometric discord and the geometric entanglement is:

$$D(p) = |r_{int}|\frac{2C(p)+1}{|r_1 \pm r_2| \mp r_3}, \quad (33)$$

where the $\pm$ depends on the maximum of Eq.(31).

In Fig. 3 we show the curves of Discord as a function of Entanglement obtained in this section, for the Hilbert-Schmidt and the Trace distance.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work using a geometric approach we obtained dynamical entanglement-discord relations for a family of initial Bell-diagonal states under the action of several local trace-preserving quantum-channels: Bit Flip, Bit

Phase Flip, Phase Damping and Depolarizing. It's worth pointing out that these channels keep the Bell-diagonal feature of the initials Bell-diagonal states, this made possible to work with closed analytic expressions. In addition we obtained a very meaningful result working with the geometric measures of correlation for the case of the Trace norm: we found that the trace distance between a general entangled X state and its closest separable state is the concurrence of the state. This result would permit to extend our study to more general evolutions that not preserve the Bell-diagonal shape of the states as for example the Amplitude Damping channel. Some work in that direction is in progress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

JM aknowledges the financial support of the Brazilian funding agencies: Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), process 441875/2014-9, Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Informação Quântica (INCT-IQ), process 2008/57856-6, and Coordenação de Desenvolvimento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), process 6531/2014-08. JM thanks the hospitality of the Physics Institute and Laser Spectroscopy Group at the Universidad de la República, Uruguay.

[1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.47.777.

[2] N. Bohr, Phys. Rev. **48**, 696 (1935), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.48.696.

[3] E. Schrödinger, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **31**, 555 (1935).

[4] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge Series on Information and the Natural Sciences (Cambridge University Press, 2000), ISBN 9780521635035, URL https://books.google.com.uy/books?id=65FqEKQOfP8C.

[5] J. Preskill (2015), URL http://www.theory.caltech.edu/~preskill/ph219/index.html#lecture.

[6] M. M. Wilde (2013).

[7] W. H. Zurek, Nat Phys **5**, 181 (2009), ISSN 1745-2473, 10.1038/nphys1202.

[8] L. T. D., J. F., L. R., N. Y., M. C., and O. J. L., Nature **464**, 4553 (2010), ISSN 0028-0836, 10.1038/nature08812.

[9] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and F. Nori, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 153 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.86.153.

[10] A. Ekert and R. Renner, Nature **507**, 443447 (2014), ISSN 0028-0836.

[11] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Nat Photon **5**, 222229 (2011), ISSN 1749-4885, 10.1038/nphoton.2011.35.

[12] C. Jarzynski, Nature Physics **11**, 105 (2015).

[13] J. McFadden and J. Al-Khalili, *Life on the Edge: The Coming of Age of Quantum Biology* (Crown Publisherss, 2014).

[14] M. Schuld, I. Sinayskiy, and F. Petruccione, Contemporary Physics **56**, 172185 (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2014.964942, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00107514.2014.964942.

[15] M. Schuld, I. Sinayskiy, and F. Petruccione, Quantum Information Processing **13**, 25672586 (2014), ISSN 1573-1332, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11128-014-0809-8.

[16] N. Wiebe, A. Kapoor, and K. M. Svore, ArXiv e-prints (2014), 1412.3489.

[17] S. E. Venegas-Andraca, Quantum Information Processing **14**, 15351537 (2015), ISSN 1573-1332, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11128-015-1001-5.

[18] I. Marvian and R. W. Spekkens, Nature Communications **5**, 3821 (2014).

[19] F. Pastawski, B. Yoshida, D. Harlow, and J. Preskill, Journal of High Energy Physics **6**, 149 (2015), 1503.06237.

[20] R. W. Spekkens, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 052108 (2005), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.052108.

[21] A. Grudka, K. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, P. Joshi, W. Kłobus, and A. Wójcik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 120401 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.120401.

[22] W. van Dam, P. Grunwald, and R. Gill, eprint arXiv:quant-ph/0307125 (2003), quant-ph/0307125.

[23] N. Brunner, D. Cavalcanti, S. Pironio, V. Scarani, and S. Wehner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 419 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.86.419.

[24] H. M. Wiseman, S. J. Jones, and A. C. Doherty, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 140402 (2007), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140402.

[25] Q. Y. He, Q. H. Gong, and M. D. Reid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 060402 (2015), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.060402.

[26] D. Bruß, Journal of Mathematical Physics **43**, 4237 (2002), quant-ph/0110078.

[27] M. B. Plenio and S. Virmani, eprint arXiv:quant-ph/0504163 (2005), quant-ph/0504163.

[28] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.865.

[29] L. Aolita, F. de Melo, and L. Davidovich, Reports on Progress in Physics **78**, 042001 (2015), URL http://stacks.iop.org/0034-4885/78/i=4/a=042001.

[30] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2001), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.017901.

[31] L. C. Céleri, J. Maziero, and R. M. Serra, ArXiv e-prints (2011), 1107.3428.

[32] K. Modi, A. Brodutch, H. Cable, T. Paterek, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1655 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.1655.

[33] A. Streltsov, *Quantum Correlations Beyond Entanglement and Their Role in Quantum Information Theory* (Springer, 2015).

[34] T. Baumgratz, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 140401 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.140401.

[35] A. Streltsov, U. Singh, H. S. Dhar, M. N. Bera, and G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 020403 (2015), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.020403.

[36] A. Shimony, in *Fundamental Problems in Quantum Theory*, edited by D. M. Greenberger and A. Zelinger (1995), vol. 755 of *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, p. 675.

[37] T.-C. Wei and P. M. Goldbart, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042307 (2003), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.042307.

[38] B. Bellomo, G. L. Giorgi, F. Galve, R. Lo Franco, G. Compagno, and R. Zambrini, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 032104 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.032104.

[39] B. Bellomo, R. Lo Franco, and G. Compagno, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 012312 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.012312.

[40] B. Dakić, V. Vedral, and i. c. v. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 190502 (2010), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.190502.

[41] G. Adesso and D. Girolami, International Journal of Quantum Information **09**, 1773 (2011).

[42] M. B. Ruskai, Reviews in Mathematical Physics **06**, 11471161 (1994).

[43] M. Ozawa, Physics Letters A **268**, 158 (2000), quant-ph/0002036.

[44] X. Wang and S. G. Schirmer, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 052326 (2009), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.052326.

[45] A. Streltsov, H. Kampermann, and D. Bruß, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 160401 (2011), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.160401.

[46] M. Piani, S. Gharibian, G. Adesso, J. Calsamiglia, P. Horodecki, and A. Winter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 220403 (2011), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.220403.

[47] M. Cornelio, M. de Oliveira, and F. Fanchini, Physical Review Letters **107**, 020502 (2011), 1007.0228.

[48] F. Fanchini, M. Cornelio, M. de Oliveira, and A. Caldeira, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 012313 (2011), 1006.2460.

[49] L.-X. Cen, X.-Q. Li, J. Shao, and Y. Yan, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 054101 (2011), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.054101.

[50] A. Al-Qasimi and D. F. V. James, ArXiv e-prints (2010), 1007.1814.

[51] D. Girolami and G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 052110 (2011), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.052110.

[52] M. Piani and G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 040301 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.040301.

[53] T. Debarba, T. O. Maciel, and R. O. Vianna, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 024302 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.024302.

[54] S. Campbell, Quantum Inf.Process **12**, 2623 (2013).

[55] A. Costa, M. Beims, and R. Angelo, ArXiv e-prints (2013), 1311.5702.

[56] A. Salles, F. de Melo, M. P. Almeida, M. Hor-Meyll, S. P. Walborn, P. H. Souto Ribeiro, and L. Davidovich, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 022322 (2008), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.022322.

[57] F. M. Paula, I. A. Silva, J. D. Montealegre, A. M. Souza, E. R. deAzevedo, R. S. Sarthour, A. Saguia, I. S. Oliveira, D. O. Soares-Pinto, G. Adesso, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 250401 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.250401.

[58] J. Maziero, R. Auccaise, L. C. Celeri, D. O. Soares-Pinto, E. R. deAzevedo, T. J. Bonagamba, R. S. Sarthour, I. S. Oliveira, and R. M. Serra, Braz. J. Phys. **43**, 86 (2013).

[59] N. Mohamed, M. Sadiq, A. Elias, and B. Mohamed, Scientific Reports **5**, 8048 (2014), ISSN 2045-2322, URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4316167/.

[60] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and M. Horodecki, Physics Letters A **200**, 340344 (1995), ISSN 0375-9601, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375960195

[61] R. Horodecki and M. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1838 (1996), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.1838.

[62] M. D. Lang and C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 150501 (2010), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.150501.

[63] Y.-K. Wang, T. Ma, H. Fan, S.-M. Fei, and Z.-X. Wang, Quantum Information Processing **13**, 283297 (2014), ISSN 1573-1332, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11128-013-0649-y.

[64] M. Lewenstein and A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2261 (1998), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.2261.

[65] T. Wellens and M. Kuś, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 052302 (2001), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.64.052302.

[66] S. Ishizaka, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 060301 (2003), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.060301.

[67] S. M. Hashemi Rafsanjani, M. Huber, C. J. Broadbent, and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062303 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.062303.

[68] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Quantum Info. Comput. **7**, 459 (2007), ISSN 1533-7146, URL http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2011832.2011835.

[69] F. M. Paula, T. R. de Oliveira, and M. S. Sarandy, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 064101 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.064101.